

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2 3	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Arizona Corporation Commission OCKETED		
	Commissioner		
4	Commissioner		
5	MIKE GLEASON Commissioner		
6	KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner		
7			
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NOS. W-01646A-06-0010 FOR EMERGENCY INTERIM RATE W-01868A-06-0010		
9	FOR EMERGENCY INTERIM RATE W-01868A-06-0010 RELIEF FOR MIRACLE VALLEY WATER W-02235A-06-0010		
10	COMPANY, INC., COCHISE WATER W-02316A-06-0010		
10	COMPANY, HORSESHOE RANCH W-02230A-06-0010 WATER COMPANY, CRYSTAL WATER W-01629A-06-0010		
11	WATER COMPANY, CRYSTAL WATER \ \ COMPANY, MUSTANG WATER \ \ \ \ W-02240A-06-0010		
12	COMPANY, SIERRA SUNSET WATER ()		
13	COMPANY AND CORONADO ESTATES DECISION NO. 68719		
	WATER COMPANY, OWNED BY JOHNNY ORDER ORDER		
14			
15			
16	Open Meeting		
17	May 25, 2006 Phoenix, Arizona		
18	BY THE COMMISSION:		
19	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
20	1. In Decision No. 68667 (April 20, 2006), the Arizona Corporation Commission		
21	("Commission") approved emergency rate relief to the Miracle Valley Water Company, Inc.,		
22	Cochise Water Company, Horseshoe Ranch Water Company, Crystal Water Company, Mustang		
23	Water Company, Sierra Sunset Water Company and Coronado Estates Water Company, owned by		
24	Johnny A. McLain (collectively, the "McLain Systems"). This relief was a System Improvement		
25	Surcharge ("SIS") of \$9.00 per meter or connection per month.		

The fourth ordering paragraph in that decision states "IT IS FURTHER ORDERED 2. that the above rates and charges shall be effective for all service provided on and after May 1, 2006."

26

27

28

- 3. On May 15, 2006, Arizona Small Utilities Association ("ASUA"), the interim manager for the McLain Systems, docketed a letter requesting clarification of the implementation date of the SIS in Decision No. 68667.
- 4. In that letter, ASUA explains that several customers have complained about ASUA prematurely charging customers the SIS.
- 5. ASUA indicates in that letter that it believes it has correctly interpreted the implementation date for the SIS. ASUA believes that it is authorized to begin assessing the SIS to customers starting May 1, 2006. ASUA further indicates that any delay to allowing it to assess the SIS would impede its objectives of paying down debts incurred to date, as well as beginning scheduled infrastructure improvements to the systems. Finally, ASUA indicates that, based on 1,319 connections, ASUA would suffer a loss of \$11,871.00 if it were not allowed to collect the surcharge for May 2006.
- 6. In Decision No. 68667, we concluded that the McLain Water Companies are facing an emergency and that the emergency rate increase is just and reasonable on an interim basis and that it should be granted. We found that the inability of the McLain Water Companies to pay debts as they come due, the bankruptcy and the need to make immediate system repairs justified the finding of an emergency. We cited numerous outages and numerous system deficiencies with all of the McLain Systems. Finally, we also noted that several vendors would no longer offer materials or services to ASUA because there had not been sufficient cash flow to pay them for past services. All of the evidence heard at the evidentiary hearing justified the need to implement the SIS as soon as possible.
- 7. Staff believes, based on the evidence on the record in this case, the findings made in Decision No. 68667 and the language in that order, that ASUA properly implemented the SIS and properly assessed customers starting May 1, 2006.
- 8. Therefore, Staff recommends that the fourth ordering paragraph in Decision No. 68667 be amended to read as follows:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above rates and charges shall be assessed on all bills rendered on or after May 1, 2006.

	Page 3	Docket Nos. W-01646A-06-0010, et al.
1	9.	Staff further recommends that all other ordering paragraphs in Decision No. 68667
2	should rema	in in effect and not be altered or set aside in any way by this clarifying order.
3		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
4	1.	The McLain Systems are public service corporations pursuant to Article XV of the
5	Arizona Cor	astitution, and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.
6	2.	The Commission has jurisdiction over the McLain systems and the subject matter
7	herein.	
8	3.	Finding of Fact No. 8 clarifies our intent regarding implementation of the SIS in the
9	fourth order	ing paragraph of Decision No. 68667, is reasonable, in the public interest and should
10	be adopted.	
11	4.	All other ordering paragraphs in Decision No. 68667 shall remain in effect and not
12	be altered or	set aside in any way by this clarifying order.
13		<u>ORDER</u>
14	IT IS	S THEREFORE ORDERED that the fourth ordering paragraph in Decision No. 68667
15	shall be ame	ended as discussed in Finding of Fact No. 8.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Decision No 68719

	Page 4 Docket Nos. W-01646A-06-0010, et al.
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other ordering paragraphs in Decision No. 68667
2	remain in effect and are not altered or set aside in any way by this clarifying order.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.
4	
5	BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
6	(14 0°00 / 10M/10000)
7	Glag he Hatch-Phelle COMMISSIONER CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
8	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
9	The Town
10	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
11	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
13	hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of
14	Phoenix, this 1st day of Urne, 2006.
15	
16	V = I = I
17	BRIAN C. MCNEIL
18	Executive Director
19	
20	DISSENT:
21	DISSENT:
22	
23	EGJ:SMO:CEW:lhm\JG
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

28